

# The Faujasite Family



1. The Periodic Building Unit (PerBU) - 2. Type of Faulting - 3. The Layer Symmetry  
4. Connectivity Pattern of the PerBU - 5. The Simplest Ordered End-Members  
6. Disordered Materials Synthesized to Date - 7. Supplementary Information - 8. References

**1. The Periodic Building Unit (PerBU)** is the hexagonal layer shown in Figure 1. This layer is built from T24 units, the sodalite cages (sod-cages), shown in Figure 2.




Figure 1: The PerBU in the Faujasite family of zeolite frameworks is composed of sod-cages which are linked through double T6-rings into a hexagonal layer. The PerBU corresponds to the (001) layer in hexagonal EMT and to the (111) layer in cubic FAU. Hexagonal axes are given. Views along [001] (a), [010] (b) and [110] (c) are shown. The layers, depicted in Figure 1b and 1c are identical and related by a  $60^\circ$  rotation about the (hexagonal)  $c$ -axis or by a mirror operation perpendicular to  $c$





Figure 2: The sod-cage. From left to right: perspective view perpendicular to  $c$ ; parallel views perpendicular to  $c$ , after rotation of  $+30^\circ$  and  $-30^\circ$  about  $c$  with respect to the most left drawing; and parallel view down  $c$ . [Compare the packing of sod-cages in LTA and SOD] 

**2. Type of Faulting:** 1-dimensional stacking disorder of the PerBU's along [001].

**3. The Layer Symmetry:** the plane space group of the PerBU is  $P(\bar{3})m1$ . ▲

**4. Connectivity Pattern of the PerBU:**

Neighbouring PerBU's can be connected along [001] through double T6-rings in two different ways:  
**(a):** the top layer is shifted over  $\frac{1}{3}(-a + b)$  before connecting it to the bottom layer. The resulting connectivity exhibits inversion symmetry (**i**:  $\sigma$ ) between successive layers.

**(b):** the top layer is rotated over  $60^\circ$  about [001] (followed by the shift vector  $\frac{1}{3}(-a + b)$ ) before connecting it to the bottom layer. The connectivity now shows mirror symmetry (**m**:  $\sigma$ ) between successive layers (see also Fig.1b and 1c).




Figure 3: Parallel projection along [010] of the connection modes **(a)** and **(b)** in the Faujasite family of zeolite frameworks

---

Once the distribution of the symmetry elements **i** and **m** between the layers stacked along [001] is known, the 3-dimensional structure is defined.

An example of an intermediate structure in the Faujasite family of zeolite frameworks [hexagonal as well as cubic axes have been indicated] is shown in Figure 4:




Figure 4: Connectivity sequence of PerBU's with **m** and **i** as symmetry elements ▲

5. The Simplest Ordered End-Members in the Faujasite family are presented in Figure 5:




Figure 5: Projection of the unit cell content along the hexagonal [010] axis of the two simplest ordered end-members in the Faujasite family: EMT (left) and FAU (right)

Pure EMT(1,2) and FAU(3,4) are obtained when neighbouring PerBU's along the (hexagonal) [001] axis are exclusively related by **m** and **i**, respectively. ▲

## 6. Disordered Materials Synthesized and Characterized to Date:

CSZ-1 (5,6); CSZ-3 (5,7); ZSM-3 (5,8); ZSM-20 (5,9); ECR-30 (5,10). ▲

## 7. Supplementary Information

### 7.1 Comparison with LTA:

In LTA, each sodalite(sod)-cage is connected to six nearest neighbouring sod-cages through double T4-rings (Fig.6). [In FAU/EMT each sod-cage is connected to four nearest neighbouring sod-cages through double T6-rings]. Eight sod-cages in a cubic packing enclose a RHO-cage (Fig.7).

[For more details: see the building scheme of LTA in 'Schemes for Building Zeolite Framework Models' on <http://www.iza-structure.org/databases/> ].




Figure 6: Sod-cage (left) connected through a double T4-ring to one of its nearest neighbours in perspective view (middle) and in parallel projection (right)




Figure 7: Cell content seen along a cube axis in perspective view (left) and in parallel projection (top right). For clarity, only one additional sod-cage along the viewing direction has been drawn (in bold) ▲

## 7.2 Comparison with SOD:

In SOD, each sod-cage is connected to six nearest neighbouring sod-cages through common T4-rings (Fig.8). Eight (fused) sod-cages in a cubic packing enclose 'new' sod-cages. [For more details: see the building scheme of SOD in 'Schemes for Building Zeolite Framework Models' on: <http://www.iza-structure.org/databases/> ].

---




Figure 8: Sod-cage (left) connected through a common T4-ring (in bold) to one of its nearest neighbours in perspective view (middle) and in parallel projection (right)




Figure 9: Cell content seen along a cube axis in perspective view (left) and in parallel projection (right). For clarity, only one additional sod-cage along the viewing direction has been drawn (in bold)

---

## 8. References

- (1) F. Delprato, L. Delmotte, J.L. Guth and L. Huve, *Zeolites* **10**, 546 (1990).
- (2) Ch. Baerlocher, L.B. McCusker and R. Chiappetta, *Micropor. Mater.* **2**, 269 (1994).
- (3) G. Bergerhoff, W.H. Baur and W. Nowacki, *N. Jb. Miner. Mh.* **1958**, 193 (1958).
- (4) W.H. Baur, *Am. Mineral.* **49**, 697 (1964).

- (5) M. M. J. Treacy, D. E. W. Vaughan, K. G. Strohmaier and J. M. Newsam, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **452**, 813 (1996).
- (6) D. E. W. Vaughan and M. G. Barret, US Patent 4,309,313(1982).
- (7) D. E. W. Vaughan and M. G. Barret, US Patent 4,333,859(1982).
- (8) G. T. Kokotailo and J. Ciric, Adv. in Chem. Series, **101**, 109 (1971).
- (9) a) J. Ciric, US Patent 3,972,983(1976).  
b) E. W. Valyocsik, Eur. Pat. Appl. 12572(1983).  
c) J. M. Newsam, M. M. J. Treacy, D. E. W. Vaughan, K. G. Strohmaier and W. J. Mortier, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1989**, 493 (1989).
- (10) D. E. W. Vaughan, E Patent 0,351,461(1989).

